May 19, '76
13 Manor Crescent
Surbiton Surrey

Dear Ms. Weinberg,

The enclosed article is the one you requested nearly a year ago. It appeared in the magazine section of the Sunday Observer in late July or early August of last summer. My apologies for the long delay in sending it. I regularly lend out my literature on the assassination to different people and this particular article was returned to me only recently. At the time of our last communication you had suffered an attack of phlebitis. I hope you've made a complete recovery. If so and if you are able to spare the time for writing, there are two or three questions I'd like to ask you. The first is whether or not there seems to be any pressure building for a new investigation. What, for instance, has become of the Gonzalez resolution. You're no doubt seen this month's

article in "Playboy" and Sam Anson's book came out not long ago. Are these having any noticeable impact? What's Post Mortem? Are the sales good and has it been reviewed in any major publications? Anson's book, which I discovered quite by accident a few weeks ago, seems to be out of print already - not a very encouraging sign. I'd be very interested in having your opinion of it. Are there any major flaws? For instance, Anson finds it difficult to credit a conspiracy involving, as he says, nearly everyone, but, on the basis of some of the information in your books, it's difficult to exonerate the F.B.I. and the Dallas police, at least. However valid or invalid his speculations, I do feel that Anson's work is a valuable antidote to the Halberstam view of Kennedy, which seems to be very widespread

today. Do you agree? Lastly in your Whitewash II, you reveal the startling fact that according to Zapruder his camera was exposing film at a rate of 24 frames per second. Irrespective of any other piece of evidence, this alone shatters the Warren Commission and yet in answer to the only reference made to the setting of the camera is in regard to a C.B.S. documentary in which it was speculated that the camera may have been exposing fewer than 18.3 frames per second. Is there any substantiation at all for the C.B.S claim and has there been any additional confirmation of your claims in recent years?

I apologize for rattling on in this way. Those of my friends and relatives who are at all interested look to me for information and I'm eager to discuss the matter with a true expert.

I feel that if the Kennedy's had lived many of us may have felt more like celebrating this year. As it is, the many people for whom the desire to know what really happened is more a craving than a curiosity owe you a special debt of gratitude. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Greg Papeton